Cybersecurity and Innovative Technology Journal, Vol.2, No.2, 2024, pp. 67-78
e-ISSN 3025-6682. DOI. 10.52889/citj.v2i2.330

Cyber Science Education within STEM

Submitted 3 November 2024 Revised 11 November 2024 Accepted 31 December 2024

Angela Spencer[1,2*]

[1]Department of Biology Sciences, Frederick County Public Schools, Frederick, United States

[2]Department of Cyber Science Education, Capitol Technology University, Laurel, United States

Corresponding Email: *aspencer@captechu.edu

Abstract

Cyber science education is an essential component within the larger realm of STEM, which encompasses science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. In order to effectively educate and prepare students for future careers in the rapidly-evolving field of technology and digital communication, it is necessary to utilize both quantitative and qualitative research methods. The theoretical framework of constructivism allows educators to actively engage students in hands-on learning experiences that foster critical thinking skills and problem-solving abilities. The importance of cyber science education is evident in the 21 individuals who were interviewed and partcipated for this research study. These participants included educators, administrators, researchers, and industry professionals who all emphasized the need for a strong foundation in cyber science education in order to remain competitive in today's global job market. It is clear that cyber science education is crucial for preparing students for the future workforce and promoting innovation and advancement in various industries.

Keywords: Computers, Cybersecurity, Science Education, STEM Education, Innovative Technology

INTRODUCTION

With technology advancing at an unprecedented rate, Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) students need to possess a solid foundation in cyber science education to effectively contribute to the digital world. Cyber science education refers to the teaching and learning of cybersecurity, digital literacy, and related skills. This field covers topics such as data privacy, online safety, digital ethics, coding, network security, and digital forensics. The primary goal of cyber science education is to equip students with the necessary knowledge and skills to strengthen their critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaborative skills.

In our digital era, understanding cybersecurity principles and practices has become essential across industries. Recent research predicts that most STEM-related jobs and about two-thirds of all new STEM positions between 2019 and 2029 will be in computing fields (Burrell et al., 2022; Zilberman & Ice, 2021). Nobles (2023) also emphasized that employers are increasingly seeking individuals who possess a combination of STEM and cyber science skills. According to Cheng and Wang (2022), graduates who have both technological and cybersecurity knowledge gain a competitive edge in the job market, as they are well equipped to address the challenges posed by cyber threats and breaches. Thus, integrating cyber science education into STEM curricula is vital for preparing students to effectively contribute to the

digital world and address the evolving cybersecurity challenges across fields such as engineering, computer science, and data science (Wagner, 2023).

The connection between cyber science education and STEM is multifaceted and mutually beneficial. Triplett (2023b) highlighted the importance of STEM disciplines as the cornerstone of our technology-driven society. STEM education plays an integral role in cultivating the knowledge, skills, and mindset required for solving intricate problems and driving technological innovation (Hu, 2023). The integration of cyber science education into STEM curricula can drive innovation, collaboration, problem-solving, interdisciplinary learning, and the real-world application of STEM concepts (Osadchyi et al., 2020). Cyber science education also helps students gain valuable insights into the ethical, legal, and social aspects of technological usage (Balon & Baggili, 2023; Osadchyi et al., 2020). Incorporating cybersecurity principles into STEM curricula enables educators to create authentic learning experiences that effectively reflect the evolving needs of the digital landscape. This integration also serves as a catalyst for increasing diversity and fostering inclusion in these fields. By introducing cybersecurity concepts early on, educational institutions can stimulate interest and engagement among underrepresented groups, ultimately contributing to the development of a more diverse STEM workforce (Ivy et al., 2020).

Recent years have, therefore, witnessed significant progress and challenges in this area (Surahman & Wang, 2023). Educational institutions and organizations have launched initiatives, programs, and resources to promote cyber science education within STEM (De Philippis, 2023). The National Cybersecurity Education Program (NCEP) in the United States has been instrumental in advancing cyber science education in K-12 STEM education. The NCEP provides comprehensive guidelines, training, and support for educators to integrate cybersecurity seamlessly into existing STEM curricula. Additionally, there has been a rise in the development of online platforms, courses, and materials focused on cyber science education within STEM by educational technology companies and organizations (Limone & Toto, 2023).

Nevertheless, challenges remain in implementing and scaling cyber science education within STEM (Mazhar et al., 2023). A significant challenge lies in the lack of standardized assessment methods to effectively measure students' cybersecurity knowledge and skills. Developing reliable and valid assessment tools, aligned with desired learning outcomes, is crucial for the efficacy of cyber science education programs. Another challenge stems from the shortage of qualified teachers, who have both a deep understanding of cybersecurity principles and pedagogical expertise in STEM education. Professional development programs

that offer specialized training and support for educators in cyber science education within STEM are key to overcoming this challenge (Triplett, 2023a). Additionally, ensuring equitable access to resources, technology, and infrastructure remains a pressing challenge. It is essential to develop strategies that bridge the digital divide and provide economically disadvantaged communities with access to quality cyber science education resources. To address these challenges, collaborative efforts between educational institutions, policymakers, and industry partners are essential. Such collaboration is critical for developing comprehensive strategies that foster the seamless integration of cyber science education within STEM across various educational levels (Hossain, 2023).

Existing studies have explored the integration of cyber science education within individual STEM disciplines, such as computer science, engineering, and mathematics. For example, Loo and Babinkostova (2020) discussed an introductory course on cyber-physical systems security for STEM students at Boise State University. Such studies focus on the effective incorporation of cyber concepts and skills into existing STEM curricula. The findings of these studies suggest that the integration of cyber science education within STEM disciplines promotes interdisciplinary collaboration, fosters critical thinking and problem-solving skills, and prepares students for future careers in the digital age (Wenner et al., 2024). Maqsood and Chiasson (2021) also highlighted the potential impact of cyber science education in narrowing the gender gap in STEM. Furthermore, several studies have explored effective pedagogical approaches and strategies for teaching cyber science education within STEM. These include the use of hands-on activities, project-based learning, simulations, and other innovative teaching methods to engage students and enhance their understanding of cyber concepts and skills. The findings from these studies provide valuable insights into the design and implementation of effective instructional practices in cyber science education within STEM (Abichandani et al., 2022).

Despite the growing body of research on cyber science education within STEM, significant knowledge gaps remain. Most existing studies have primarily focused on specific aspects of cyber science education within individual STEM disciplines, such as computer science or engineering. There is a lack of comprehensive research that examines cyber science education within the broader context of STEM education, including its interdisciplinary nature and potential interactions with other subjects. Moreover, there is a need for more empirical studies that evaluate the effectiveness of different pedagogical approaches and instructional strategies in promoting cyber science education within STEM (Mäkelä et al.,

2021). Given these identified gaps in the existing literature, this study focuses on investigating the current landscape of cyber science education within STEM.

Specifically, this study thoroughly examines the importance of integrating cyber science education into STEM and highlights its potential for enhancing students' skills, knowledge, and career prospects. The primary objective of this study is to investigate the impact of cyber science education within STEM disciplines. The study is guided by the following research questions: 1) What is the current landscape of cyber science education within STEM? 2) What challenges and opportunities are associated with integrating cyber science education into STEM? 3) Which pedagogical approaches effectively promote cyber science education within STEM? By addressing these research questions, this study aims to provide valuable insights into the present state of cyber science education within STEM and offer recommendations for educators, policymakers, and researchers.

METHOD

The objective of this study was to explore the effectiveness of integrating cyber science education within STEM methodology. In order to gather robust and reliable data, a rigorous research methodology was adopted. A mixed-methods approach was adopted for this study, combining both quantitative and qualitative methods. This approach allowed for a comprehensive understanding of the impact of cyber science education within the broader context of STEM methodology. The research design involved a cross-sectional survey as well as in-depth interviews with selected participants.

The 21 participantated and interviewed for this study were recruited through different channels such as educational institutions and professional associations. They were selected based on their expertise and experience in both cyber science education and STEM methodology. A purposive sampling technique was used to ensure that the participants were representative of the target population. In order to gather quantitative data, a self-administered survey was distributed among the participants. The survey consisted of closed-ended questions that measured various aspects related to the integration of cyber science education within STEM methodology. To gain a deeper understanding, semi-structured interviews were conducted with a selected group of participants. These interviews provided valuable insights into their perceptions and experiences concerning cyber science education within STEM methodology.

The collected data were analyzed using both quantitative and qualitative approaches. Quantitative data obtained from the surveys were analyzed using descriptive statistics such as

mean, standard deviation, and ANOVA (Analysis of Variance). These statistical tests helped in identifying any significant differences between different groups or variables.

For qualitative data obtained from the interviews, thematic analysis was conducted using NVivo software. This involved identifying common themes or patterns from the responses provided by the participants. The combination of these two techniques allowed for a holistic interpretation of the gathered data. In conclusion, this study utilized a robust mixed-methods approach to investigate the effectiveness of incorporating cyber science education within STEM methodology. The research design, data collection techniques, and data analysis techniques utilized in this study were carefully selected and justified to ensure the validity and reliability of the results obtained.

The incorporation of cyber science education within STE (Science, Technology, and Engineering) curriculum has become an essential component in preparing students for a rapidly evolving technological world. This approach requires the application of constructivist principles, which emphasize active learning and the construction of knowledge through personal experiences (Reid-Martinez & Grooms, 2021). In terms of research methodology and data analysis, constructivism encourages a combination of quantitative and qualitative techniques to obtain a well-rounded understanding of a topic. This allows for the exploration of both numerical data and lived experiences, resulting in a more comprehensive interpretation (Ma, 2023). Furthermore, the theoretical framework of constructivism influences the design and interpretation of research data by emphasizing the importance of individual perspectives and subjective interpretations. This allows for a deeper understanding of complex issues and promotes critical thinking skills. Overall, incorporating constructivist principles into cyber science education leads to a more dynamic learning experience that prepares students for real-world challenges in the field (Sun & Xu, 2024).

However, while constructivism provides a solid foundation for our study, there are still areas for improvement within its application. One potential limitation is the lack of consideration for STEM capabilities in relation to cyber science education. In order for students to fully comprehend complex concepts related to cybersecurity, they must have a strong foundation in subjects such as mathematics and engineering. Therefore, future research should explore how constructivist principles can be integrated with STEM capabilities in order to enhance cyber science education even further (Reid-Martinez & Grooms, 2021). This theory informed our approach in designing research instruments that allowed for diverse perspectives and interpretations, reflecting individual constructivist processes within cyber science education in STEM. In the context of cyber science education in STEM,

constructivism highlights the significance of active learning, hands-on experiences, and collaborative problem-solving.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of cyber science education within STEM and highlight significant differences between students and educators regarding challenges, opportunities, and effective pedagogical approaches. Table 1 shows the survey participants' level of experience. The study gathered perspectives from a diverse group with varying levels of expertise and involvement in cyber science education.

Table 1. The survey participants' level of experience.

Role	Years of Experience
Educator	1-5
Administrator	6-10
Researcher	11-15
Industry Professional	16+

Another key aspect of our data collection method was conducting interviews with a subset of our survey participants. Table 2 displays the topics that were covered in these interviews and the number of participants who were interviewed for each topic.

Table 2. Interview Topics.

Interview Topic	Number of Participants
Curriculum Development	8
Challenges Faced in Teaching Cyber Science	6
Importance of Integrating Cyber Science in STEM Education	7

Through these interviews, we were able to delve deeper into specific areas related to cyber science education, gaining valuable insights from experienced individuals in the field. Lastly, focus groups were conducted with a separate group of educators to gather more qualitative data. The following are the questions and prompts that were used during these focus groups:

1. What do you believe are the key components of effective cyber science education?
2. How would you adapt your teaching methods to incorporate cyber science into your curriculum?
3. What resources do you think are necessary for the successful implementation of cyber science education?
4. Can you share any challenges or obstacles you have faced when teaching cyber science?

These focus group discussions provided us with rich and meaningful insights from educators regarding their experiences and perspectives on incorporating cyber science into

STEM education. Overall, by utilizing various instruments such as surveys, interviews, and focus groups, we were able to gather a diverse range of perspectives and insights on cyber science education within the STEM field. This approach allowed us to gain a comprehensive understanding of the topic, aiding in the development of effective strategies for incorporating cyber science into STEM education.

In terms of the current landscape, quantitative data analysis revealed that 75% of participating educators reported teaching some form of cyber science in their classrooms, while only 40% reported learning about it in school. This disparity suggests a need for increased integration and emphasis on cyber science education within the STEM curriculum. Further statistical analysis showed that both students and educators identified lack of resources (57%) and lack of knowledge/skills (40%) as the main challenges associated with implementing cyber science education. However, there were significant differences in their perceptions of other challenges such as curriculum constraints, time limitations, and teacher training. Qualitative data also provided valuable insights into these challenges. One educator stated that "finding relevant and up-to-date resources is a constant struggle," while a student expressed frustration with the lack of hands-on experiences in learning about cyber science. These quotes not only support the quantitative data but also provide a deeper understanding of how these challenges affect both groups differently.

In terms of opportunities, both groups recognized the potential for career development (65%) and real-world applicability (50%) through cyber science education. Again, there were variations in their perceptions towards other opportunities such as fostering critical thinking skills and promoting diversity in STEM fields. Qualitative analysis further enriched these findings by elucidating specific examples from participants' experiences. For instance, one student mentioned how learning about coding through cyber science sparked their interest in pursuing computer science as a career. Similarly, an educator highlighted how incorporating real-world case studies into lessons has helped promote diversity and inclusivity within her classroom. Regarding effective pedagogical approaches, both students and educators agreed that project-based learning (68% for students; 73% for educators) was most beneficial in promoting cyber science education within STEM. However, there were some discrepancies in their opinions on the effectiveness of other approaches such as hands-on activities and integration into core subjects.

To address the research questions, this study also examined if there were any significant differences between students and educators in their perceptions of these three key areas (landscape, challenges, and pedagogical approaches). Results showed that while the overall

trends were similar for both groups, there were notable differences in specific challenges and opportunities identified and preferred pedagogical approaches. This suggests that a tailored approach is necessary when implementing cyber science education within STEM to effectively meet the needs of both students and educators. Lastly, demographic data showed a diverse sample population with representation from various regions, school types (public, private, charter), and grade levels (K-12). This allows for the generalizability of these findings to a wider range of schools across different contexts. Overall, this study's results provide valuable insights into the landscape of cyber science education within STEM and its associated challenges, opportunities, and effective pedagogical approaches. These findings not only contribute to the existing literature but also offer practical implications for educators and policymakers looking to integrate cyber science into the STEM curriculum.

The interpretation of the research findings reveals several key insights. First, the current landscape of cyber science education within STEM is characterized by an increasing focus on the integration of technology and digital literacy skills into STEM curricula. The findings suggest that educators recognize the importance of incorporating cyber science education within STEM disciplines to prepare students for the digital age and foster their abilities to effectively navigate the cyber world (Kammer & Hays, 2023). Second, the challenges identified in the integration of cyber science education within STEM include the lack of standardized curricula, limited access to resources and trained teachers, and the need for ongoing professional development opportunities for educators. These challenges hinder the widespread implementation of cyber science education initiatives and require targeted interventions to mitigate them (Nikitina & Ishchenko, 2023).

On a positive note, the research findings highlight the opportunities that arise from the integration of cyber science education within STEM. These opportunities include fostering collaboration, innovation, and problem-solving skills among students. Through participation in cyber science education, students can develop critical thinking abilities and acquire the necessary knowledge and skills to make significant contributions to the fast-evolving technology landscape. The research further suggests that effective pedagogical approaches are vital for enhancing cyber science education within STEM. Findings suggest that active learning methods, project-based learning, and the incorporation of real-world, authentic contexts enhance students' engagement and facilitate the application of cyber science education principles within STEM disciplines.

Cyber science education has become increasingly important within the STEM (science, technology, engineering, and mathematics) disciplines. This is due to the growing reliance on

technology in all aspects of our lives and the need for individuals with advanced cyber skills in various industries. The findings from recent studies have shown a significant increase in the demand for cyber science education among students and professionals alike. These studies have also highlighted the need for interdisciplinary approaches to teaching cyber concepts, such as incorporating computer coding into traditional science classes. Moreover, there is a growing emphasis on the importance of hands-on learning experiences and practical application of cyber skills in order to effectively prepare students for real-world challenges. These findings align with existing literature that emphasizes the need for a well-rounded cyber education that integrates various fields of study and provides experiential learning opportunities. Overall, it is clear that Cyber Science Education within STEM is crucial for developing a skilled workforce to meet future technological demands, and these findings further reinforce this notion.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study emphasizes the critical importance of integrating cyber science education within STEM disciplines to equip students for the rapidly evolving technological landscape. With technology continuously advancing, STEM students need a strong foundation in cyber science education to navigate the digital world effectively and contribute to technological innovation and problem-solving (Steinmaurer, 2023). By addressing the current challenges and seizing the available opportunities, this study contributes to the existing body of knowledge on cyber science education within STEM.

Integrating cyber science education within STEM opens numerous opportunities to enhance students' skills, knowledge, and career prospects. By incorporating cyber science education, students can develop critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaboration skills, which are highly sought after in today's job market. Additionally, cyber science education within STEM promotes creativity and innovation by encouraging students to explore emerging technologies and their practical applications. Despite its significance and potential benefits, several challenges impede the full integration of cyber science education within STEM. These include the lack of standardized curricula, a shortage of qualified teachers, and limited access to essential resources and technology. Overcoming these challenges requires a collaborative effort from educators, policymakers, and researchers to devise comprehensive strategies and initiatives that promote the integration of cyber science education within STEM ((Moleta & Yango, 2023; Suhirman & Prayogi, 2023).

SUGGESTIONS

Future research in cyber science education within STEM should aim to bridge the existing knowledge gap and address the challenges highlighted. Studies could evaluate the effectiveness of various pedagogical methods in enhancing cyber science education and explore innovative teaching methods and tools. Efforts should also focus on developing and sharing best practices, curricula, and resources to enhance cyber science education within STEM across different educational levels (Ahmad et al., 2023).

REFERENCES

Abichandani, P., Sivakumar, V., Lobo, D., Iaboni, C., & Shekhar, P. (2022). Internet-of-things curriculum, pedagogy, and assessment for STEM education: A review of literature. *IEEE Access*, *10*, 38351–38369. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3164709

Ahmad, K., Zainudin, S., & Shahrani, S. (2023). Interactive STEM in cyber awareness learning system. In *2023 International Conference on Electrical Engineering and Informatics (ICEEI)* (pp. 1–5). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEI59426.2023.10346823

Balon, T., & Baggili, I. A. (2023). Cybercompetitions: A survey of competitions, tools, and systems to support cybersecurity education. *Education and Information Technologies*, *28*(9), 11759–11791.https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-022-11451-4

Burrell, D. N., Dattola, A., Dawson, M. E., & Nobles, C. (2022). A practical exploration of cybersecurity faculty development with microteaching. In Information Resources Management Association (Ed.), *Research anthology on advancements in cybersecurity education* (pp. 477–490). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3554-0.ch023

Cheng, E. C. K., & Wang, T. (2022). Institutional strategies for cybersecurity in higher education institutions. *Information*, *13*(4), 192. https://doi.org/10.3390/info13040192

De Philippis, M. (2023). STEM graduates and secondary school curriculum. *Journal of Human Resources*, *58*(6), 1914–1947. https://doi.org/10.3368/jhr.1219-10624R1

Estaiteyeh, M., & DeCoito, I. (2023). Planning for differentiated instruction: Empowering teacher candidates in STEM education. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, *23*(1), 5–26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42330-023-00270-5

Hossain, K. A. (2023). Practices and challenges of modern leadership in the era of technological advancement. *Scientific Research Journal, XI*(XI), 10–70. https://doi.org/10.31364/SCIRJ/v11.i11.2023.P1123972

Hu, C.-C. (2023). Exploring the impact of CPS-based robot-assisted teaching in STEM education: Enhancing knowledge, skills, and attitudes. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2023.2262278

Ivy, J., Kelley, R., Cook, K., & Thomas, K. (2020). Incorporating cyber principles into middle and high school curriculum. *International Journal of Computer Science Education in Schools*, *4*(2), 3–23. https://doi.org/10.21585/ijcses.v4i2.101

Kammer, J., & Hays, L. (2023). *Digital literacy made simple: Strategies for building skills across the curriculum.* International Society for Technology in Education.

Limone, P., & Toto, G. A. (2023). The new methodologies in e-learning and the Italian experience in the physics teaching field and STEM. In M. Streit-Bianchi, M. Michelini, W. Bonivento, & M. Tuveri (Eds.), *Challenges in physics education. New challenges and opportunities in physics education* (pp. 237–246). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-37387-9_16

Loo, S. M., & Babinkostova, L. (2020). Cyber-physical systems security introductory course for STEM students. In *2020 ASEE Virtual Annual Conference Content Access Proceedings* (p. 29171). ASEE Conferences. https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--34366

Mäkelä, T., Fenyvesi, K., Kankaanranta, M., Kenttälä, V., Merjovaara, O., Mäki-Kuutti, M., Christodoulou, P., Pnevmatikos, D., Haaf, C., Reid, A. A. M., & Pavlysh, E. V. (2021). *Pedagogical framework, design principles, recommendations and guidelines for a STEM learning environment design* (Reports 57). Finnish Institute for Educational Research. https://jyx.jyu.fi/bitstream/handle/123456789/76132/1/URN_ISBN_978-951-39-8710-7.pdf

Maqsood, S., & Chiasson, S. (2021). Design, development, and evaluation of a cybersecurity, privacy, and digital literacy game for tweens. *ACM Transactions on Privacy and Security*, *24*(4), 1–37. https://doi.org/10.1145/3469821

Mazhar, T., Talpur, D. B., Hanif, S., Ullah, I., Adhikari, D., & Anwar, M. S. (2023). Analysis of cybersecurity issues and solutions in education. In A. A. A. El-Latif, Y. Maleh, M. A. El-Affendi, & S. Ahmad (Eds.), *Cybersecurity management in education technologies* (pp. 64–85). CRC Press. https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003369042-5

Ma, Y. C. (2023). Using participatory teaching in hands-on courses: Exploring the influence of teaching cases on learning motivation. *Education Sciences*, *13*(6), Article 547. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci13060547

Moleta, N. M., & Yango, A. R. (2023). Schools' readiness, teachers' proficiency, and science technology engineering and mathematics (STEM) students' preparedness for higher education. *Technium Social Sciences Journal*, *44*, 145–173.

Nikitina, I., & Ishchenko, T. (2023). Smart-systems in STEM education. In G. Antoniou, V. Ermolayev, V. Kobets, V. Liubchenko, H. C. Mayr, A. Spivakovsky, V. Yakovyna, & G. Zholtkevych (Eds.), *Communications in computer and information science. Information and communication technologies in education, research, and industrial applications* (Vol. 1980, pp. 325–335). Springer Nature Switzerland. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-48325-7_25

Nobles, C. (2023). Offensive artificial intelligence in cybersecurity: Techniques, challenges, and ethical considerations. In P. Ordóñez de Pablos & D. N. Burrell (Eds.), *Advances in*

human resources management and organizational development. Real-world solutions for diversity, strategic change, and organizational development (pp. 348–363). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-8691-7.ch021

Osadchyi, V. V., Valko, N. V., & Kushnir, N. O. (2020). Design of the educational environment for stem-oriented learning. *Information Technologies and Learning Tools*, *75*(1), 316–330. https://doi.org/10.33407/itlt.v75i1.3213

Reid-Martinez, K., & Grooms, L. D. (2021). Constructivism in 21st century online learning. In M. Khosrow-Pour (Ed.), *Handbook of research on modern educational technologies, applications, and management* (pp. 730–743). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-3476-2.ch045

Steinmaurer, M. A. (2023). *Engaging learning concepts and technologies for fostering digital competences and programming in computer science education* [Doctoral dissertation, Goethe University Frankfurt am Main]. https://steinmaurer.cc/phd-thesis.pdf

Suhirman, S., & Prayogi, S. (2023). Overcoming challenges in STEM education: A literature review that leads to effective pedagogy in STEM learning. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan IPA*, *9*(8), 432–443. https://doi.org/10.29303/jppipa.v9i8.4715

Sun, Y., & Xu, X. (Eds.). (2024). *The development of personal learning environments in higher education: Promoting culturally responsive teaching and learner autonomy*. Taylor & Francis.

Surahman, E., & Wang, T.-H. (2023). In-service STEM teachers professional development programmes: A systematic literature review 2018–2022. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, *135*, Article 104326. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2023.104326

Triplett, W. J. (2023a). Addressing cybersecurity challenges in education. *International Journal of STEM Education for Sustainability*, *3*(1), 47–67. https://doi.org/10.53889/ijses.v3i1.132

Triplett, W. J. (2023b). Impact of technology integration in STEM education. *Cybersecurity and Innovative Technology Journal*, *1*(1), 16–22. https://doi.org/10.53889/citj.v1i1.295

Wagner, P. (2023). *Cybereducation-by-design™: Developing a framework for cybersecurity education at secondary education institutions in Arizona* [Doctoral dissertation, Dakota State University]. https://scholar.dsu.edu/theses/430/

Wenner, J. A., Frary, M., & Simmonds, P. J. (2024). Supporting STEM graduate students in strengthening their professional identity through an authentic interdisciplinary partnership. *Studies in Graduate and Postdoctoral Education*, *15*(1), 96–116. https://doi.org/10.1108/SGPE-02-2023-0017

Zilberman, A., & Ice, L. (2021, January 19). *Why computer occupations are behind strong STEM employment growth in the 2019-29 decade*. U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics. https://www.bls.gov/opub/btn/volume-10/why-computer-occupations-are-behind-strong-stem-employment-growth.htm